

Date: Sun, 26 Jun 94 04:30:08 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #285
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Sun, 26 Jun 94 Volume 94 : Issue 285

Today's Topics:

Learning CW

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Sat, 25 Jun 1994 17:52:10 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!csus.edu!netcom.com!
lfloyd@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Learning CW
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Sparks (mdennehy@unix2.tcd.ie) wrote:
: Hello All !

....
: So I'm posting here to ask for help - advice, hints & tips
: on learning the code, and even better, if anyone has any PCompatible DOS
: programs for learning the code that they would be willing to let me use
: (Public Domain / Shareware that is :) or tell me where to ftp them from,
: that would be great, as would any Book references/preferences !

Thanks in advance for any and all replies !

• 73's de ET5EDB

Mark.

I have a program (PC/DOS) that sends CW at various speeds and tones. It can generate random groups or read from ASCII files. But, I need to see if it is public domain before I try to get it to you.

As for advice: practice practice practice! Spend most of your time learning how to receive CW, sending will come much more easily. Try to stay away from "seeing" CW (i.e.) to avoid double translation (I learned CW when I was 11 or 12 this way... took a long time to unlearn it!).

I will check on the program and get back to you...

Best regards,

Larry - WB5HHM

Date: 25 Jun 1994 18:18:31 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!uhog.mit.edu!
news.kei.com!ssd.intel.com!chnews!scorpion.ch.intel.com!cmoore@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <215.439.1442.0NA7033D@megasystem.com>, <062494034946Rnf0.78@amcomp.com>, <2ugalp\$ah0@crcnis1.unl.edu>.i
Subject : Re: CW - THE ONLY MODE!

In article <2ugalp\$ah0@crcnis1.unl.edu>,

>exactly what equipment you are referring to that can copy weaker
>signals than an experienced cw operator??? Greg WB0RTK

Hi Greg, here's my \$0.02 worth... I don't think a machine can copy CW as well as a human YET but it won't be long. I think what Dan was saying is there are digital modes that can be copied under the noise. I have seen AMTOR copied when I couldn't even hear the signal. There are digital modes that are superior to CW and will get through when CW cannot. Human ears cannot even hear CDMA and yet many conversations are possible WITHIN THE SAME SPECTRUM.

Human beings can climb mountains better than any land-locked machine and mountain climbing is fun for some people. But they don't give you a mountain climbing test for your driver's license.

I encourage everyone to use and enjoy CW like I do. But there are much more robust digital modes. If CW were the mode most likely to succeed then NASA would use it for deep space probes. CW just does not deserve to be the single most important item in obtaining any ham license

above technician level.

73, KG7BK, OOTC, CecilMoore@delphi.com

End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #285
